



## **Cenaliulriit Coastal Resource Service Area**

---

PO Box 69, Mekoryuk, AK 99630 / Phone: 907-827-8748 / Fax: 827-8749 / E-Mail: [cenaliulriit2@starband.net](mailto:cenaliulriit2@starband.net)

**Toll Free: 1-877-827-8747**

**TESTIMONY  
HOUSE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE  
State Capital, Room 102  
FEBRUARY 24, 2005  
8:00AM**

### **Alaska Coastal Management Program Oversight Hearing**

Thank you Chairman Paul Seaton and the Honorable Committee Members:

I'm John Oscar, Program Director for the Cenaliulriit Coastal Resource Service Area. On behalf of my board members we serve 38 villages in the Yukon-Kuskokwim delta.<sup>1</sup> We have approximately 21,000 residents in this area. Cenaliulriit district has one of largest number of dependents to renewable resources than any other part of the whole United States. Subsistence is an essential component of the Yup'ik people. Subsistence is history, culture, tradition, and it is deeply rooted to daily family living, it prevents dire poverty from hunger in the remotest places of Alaska, it sustains life.

The people of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Coastal Resource Management Area (CRSA) fervently believe in the wise use and management of their resources for future sustainability. But it is uncertain with the current requirements. One example I wish to share is a project that was only 200 feet above the village of Pilot Station. This airport project was only a few feet outside our coastal zone, and our policies could not apply in this case, even if the activity affected berry-picking sites around and near the project. The only time we were made aware of this was when the portion of this project was in question of being inside Cenaliulriit zone. The mining policies were taken out in the current regulations. We have mining projects as we speak in the Marshall District, Goodnews Bay District, Nyac District, and now including Red Devil and Donlin Creek Districts. What does this mean to the potential impact of mining, or large sand and gravel extractions near subsistence resource sites, wetlands and waterways, a few feet to a few miles above a village? Where is the due deference? How much influence do the current regulations provide those most affected in the decision making process? The rewritten regulations leave an unpredictable future for the people in the process, or at least a death in the public process. The ability of folks to comment or apply policies on mining under House B191 has been taken away, and is no longer our concern.

Cenaliulriit has only 1-first class city, which is St. Mary's, 25-second class cities, and 12 tribally run communities. These communities utilize the policies that address resource protection with subsistence as a primary part of their decision making process under Cenaliulriit. They do not have

---

<sup>1</sup> The district includes **one** first class city (Saint Mary's), **25** second class cities (Akiak, Alakanuk, Aniak, Cheformak, Chevak, Eek, Emmonak, Goodnews Bay, Hooper Bay, Kotlik, Kwethluk, Marshall, Mekoryuk, Mountain Village, Napakiak, Napaskiak, Newtok, Nightmute, Nunam Iqua, Nunapitchuk, Pilot Station, Platinum, Quinhagak, Russian Mission, Scammon Bay, and Toksook Bay) and **12** unincorporated cities (Akiachak, Atmautluak, Kasigluk, Kipnuk, Kongiganak, Kwigillingok, Oscarville, Pitka's Point, Tuluksak, Tuntutuliak, and Tununak).

ordinances to land, air and water quality standards, or policies relating to resource protection. So this program is the only avenue they have to address those issues.

The main problem we are faced with is the weakened or in some cases eliminated, our ability to successfully share comments that require careful planning. We cannot address issues relating to land, air and water quality standards, and we cannot share our concerns to habitat standards. It is like saying "You may comment toward this project, but you cannot say anything about the impacts it will have to your resources and its relationship to land, air, water quality and the habitat areas."

The other problem we are faced with is the uncertainty of the new regulations that have been evolving since the inception of House Bill 191, with very little influence or input from the districts toward that plan. I have to explain these regulations to my 38 villages in Yup'ik Eskimo, or in other words a third language that meshes English and Yup'ik in plain language. To do this would require time and funds to meet with the leadership, which must happen between now and late April, before everyone gets busy with renewable resource activity. I have no solid base to which to share them, as those regulations are not written in stone.

In most cases, scientific evidence in the western world has more bearing than traditional knowledge. We must provide the evidence of usage and documentation to prove those resources would be affected by development. We are also concerned about decisions that will be based on bias behind desks that are hundreds of miles away. Where is it that we can apply land, air and water quality standards? Where is it that we can apply habitat standards? Are we not speaking of the same animal?

We are working with villages to improve solid waste sites and meeting and conferring with updating these sites. In cooperation with other entities, we are also in process of implementing to help villages to develop policies relating to infrastructure development and future planning for future sites. With the current regulations, we are not able to provide policies that would otherwise protect them when a project is outside their immediate vicinity or town site. There is no protection.

With inadequate resources, I would have to meet with leadership during times when they are not hunting or fishing, and the spring-summer would be impossible. I calculated I would have to travel over 5,000 air miles in my district to explain the project and acquire support to the new regulations that may not set well with them.

We have a deadline of July 1, 2005 to complete this project, but in my district we are guaranteed to fail because of the vastness of the region and its people. Without the coastal management program, what guarantee do these people have, when decisions are being made for them in Anchorage or Juneau? We are concerned about the forests in South America, and other parts of the world, but not our backyard. We are concerned, if the Alaska Coastal Management Program was removed from the state, and then what protection do we have from decisions that would be made in D.C.?

In closing, my uncle, Oscar Usugan, who has long passed away, said, "My learning and knowledge was handed down by your ancient's ancients, where the whole group was as important as one person's fate, your children's children preservation for the long term. Yet, today, we're threatened by the pervasiveness of the human nature. We live in a hurried world of technology, the clock, and the Western thought for self-gain, and forget who holds our lives. We are faced with written laws and regulations that change instantly the next day. From a far off land, from a few who offer promises and good words, but in the end you are forgotten, when the true face of hidden misdeeds and false words is revealed in their crafty laws."